1 2 3 4	Shana Scarlett (217895) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 shanas@hbsslaw.com	Neil A.F. Popović, Cal. Bar No. 132403 Anna S. McLean, Cal. Bar No. 142233 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415.434.9100
5	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: 415.434.3947 amclean@sheppardmullin.com
6	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 2nd Avenue, Suite 2000	npopovic@sheppardmullin.com
7	Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Factivity: (206) 623-0504	Attorneys for Defendant
8	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com	
9	Marc A. Goldich (pro hac vice) Noah Axler (pro hac vice)	
10	AXLER GOLDICH, LLC 1520 Locust Street, Suite 301	
11	Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (267) 534-7400	
12	Facsimile: (267) 534-7407 mgoldich@axgolaw.com	
13	naxler@axgolaw.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17		
18 19	IN RE SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC LITIGATION	No. 3:16-cv-00523-JCS
20	CONSOLIDATED ACTION	FURTHER JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
21		DATE: January 18, 2019
2223		TIME: 9:30 a.m. DEPT: Hon. Joseph C. Spero Courtroom G, 15th Floor
24		Complaint filed: May 9, 2016
25		
26		
27		
28		

The parties submit this further joint case management statement pursuant to the Standing Order for Judges of the Northern District of California and Civil Local Rule 16-9. A case management conference is scheduled in this matter on January 18, 2019.

I. JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

1. Jurisdiction and Service

There are no outstanding issues regarding jurisdiction and service.

2. Facts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The parties have extensively discussed the facts in the prior motions for class certification and do not repeat them here.

3. Legal Issues

The parties have extensively discussed the legal issues in the prior motion for class certification and do not repeat them here.

4. Motions

Plaintiffs have filed a renewed motion for class certification for a narrower class focused on common issues, or a class divided into subclasses and the issues related to class certification have been fully briefed and the hearing is set for Friday, January 18, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.

Seagate's view is Plaintiffs' renewed motion is no more focused on "common issues" than before. Seagate agrees that the issues have been fully briefed and will be addressed at the January 18 hearing.

5. Amendment of Pleadings

To the extent necessary, plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend the complaint to comply with any class certification order.

6. Evidence Preservation

Plaintiffs are unaware of any issues relating to evidence preservation at this time.

7. Disclosures

Both parties have complied with the initial disclosure requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.

8. Discovery

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Following this Court's ruling on class certification, plaintiffs anticipate that both parties may engage in additional, limited discovery.

Pursuant to the Court's Standing Order on May 25, 2018, Seagate certified it had produced all documents it agreed to produce in response to Plaintiffs' five sets of document requests and the jointly-negotiated search terms, a process that took nearly two years and over a million dollars.

Seagate reserves the right to object to additional discovery, depending on the Court's ruling.

9. Class Actions

As disclosed above, the issues related to class certification have been fully briefed and the hearing is set for Friday, January 18, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.

10. Related Cases

As previously reported by the parties, a putative class action containing similar allegations about Seagate's 3TB hard drives is currently pending in San Francisco Superior Court, *Pozar v. Seagate Technology LLC*, No. CGC-b (filed September 4, 2015) (alleging California class). Judge Karnow certified a California class under UCL and CLRA based on omissions. To the extent feasible, to avoid duplication and promote efficiency, the parties here have agreed to informally coordinate discovery with the *Pozar* matter. Seagate's motion for summary judgment in the state court matter is pending and set for hearing on January 31, 2019.

11. Relief

Plaintiffs seek damages based on Seagate's failure to disclose the unreliability of its drives.

The exact amount of damages will be addressed in plaintiffs' Rule 26 expert reports.

12. Settlement and ADR

Plaintiffs remain open to resolving this dispute by settlement.

Seagate believes settlement may be possible depending on the upcoming rulings on Plaintiffs' renewed motion for class certification in this case and Seagate's motion for summary judgment in the state court case.

27

28

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

The parties have consented to a Magistrate Judge for all purposes.

14. Other References

The parties do not believe the case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. Narrowing of Issues

The parties are not aware of issues that can be narrowed by agreement or motion at this time.

16. Expedited Trial Procedure

The parties do not believe that this case can be handled under the Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order 64.

17. Scheduling

The parties submitted a case management statement with a proposed schedule for the renewed class certification motion. Following on this Court's ruling on class certification, the parties anticipate requesting a case management conference at which further deadlines, including summary judgment, the pretrial conference and trial will be set.

18. Trial

The case will be tried to jury. Plaintiffs believe it would be premature to propose the expected length of the trial until the outcome of class certification is known.

19. Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons

Plaintiffs are unaware of any non-parties (other than the absent class members) who have either (i) a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.

20. Professional Conduct

The parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern District of California.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

1 21. Other 2 The parties are unaware of any other matters that may facilitate the just, speedy and 3 inexpensive disposition of this matter. 4 DATED: January 11, 2019 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 5 By <u>s/ Shana E. Scarlett</u> SHANA E. SCARLETT 6 7 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, California 94710 8 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 9 shanas@hbsslaw.com 10 Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice) HAGENS BERMÄN SOBOL ŚHAPIRO LLP 11 1301 2nd Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98101 12 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 13 steve@hbsslaw.com 14 AXLER GOLDICH, LLC 15 By s/ Marc A. Goldich DATED: January 11, 2019 16 MARC A. GOLDICH (pro hac vice) 17 Noah Axler (pro hac vice) AXLER GOLDICH, LLĆ 18 1520 Locust Street, Suite 301 19 Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (267) 534-7400 Facsimile: (267) 534-7407 20 mgoldich@axgolaw.com 21 naxler@axgolaw.com 22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	DATED: January 11, 2019	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER
2		& HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership
3		Including Professional Corporations
4		By s/ Anna S. McLean ANNA S. McLEAN, Cal. Bar No. 142233
5		NEIL A.F. POPOVIĆ, Cal. Bar No. 132403
6		npopovic@sheppardmullin.com amclean@sheppardmullin.com
7		Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
8		San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947
9		Attorneys for Defendant
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

FURTHER JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT – Case No.: 3:16-cv-00523-JCS

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

I, Shana E. Scarlett, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Further Joint Case Management Statement. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that all signatories have concurred in this filing.

s/ Shana E. Scarlett

SHANA E. SCARLETT

FURTHER JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT – Case No.: 3:16-cv-00523-JCS